

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0445  
Application Number: 10/706,421

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**AUG 13 2010**

-2-

### Summary of Office Action

In an Office Action mailed on 13 May 2010, claims 1-12 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The examiner consider the limitation "enable and disabled together" as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because the configuration parameters cannot enable and disable together at the same. Claims 2-12 and 26 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for the same rationale of claim 1 above. Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because of the following reasons: Claim 28 would allegedly be interpreted by one of ordinary skill as "machine-readable media" of software per se, failing to fall within a statutory category of invention. Claim 29 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directly dependent on independent claim 28. Claim 13, 17, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Synnestvedt et al., United States Patent Number 6,598,057 (hereinafter Synnestvedt), in view of Chapman et al., United States Patent Publication Number 2006/0262722 (hereinafter Chapman).

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0445  
Application Number: 10/706,421

-3-

### **Summary of Amendments**

Amendments have been made to the claims. The amendments to the claims are detailed under the heading "Claims". Deletions are shown in strike-through; additions are underlined.